

administrators of William Cook state a further account of his transactions as administrator of William Cook's dec'd, before one of the Commissioners of this Court, who will report the same to the Court together with any matters specially brought forward by himself or others may be required to be stated by any of the parties interested.

Edward as
against
Priggs

Plaintiff

Defendants

In Chancery

This day this cause came on again to be heard on the papers formerly read and the report of John H. Priggs sheriff made pursuant to orders of this Court, entered at the February Term 1875 and also argued by counsel. On consideration whereof the Court doth adjudge, order and decree that the said report be accepted, and in further approving to the Court that there is nothing further to be done in this cause, it is ordered that the same be removed from the docket.

Agnes's Execs and Dns of his wife, the said formerly Dec'd, Maria Higgins, formerly Dec'd,
the Dec'd and Thirson Dec'd

Plaintiff

Defendants

In Chancery

against

Milly Dec'd, Depled Dec'd and Miley Dec'd

This day this cause came on to be heard on the bill of the plaintiff, taken for complaint as to the defendants, Miley and Depled Dec'd, the answer of the said defendants, Miley Dec'd, by M. Edwards her guardian ad litem, and also argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, the Court doth adjudge, order and decree that a Commissioner of this Court report to Court, whether the same of which the Court doth, signs is susceptible of partition among his heirs or not - and such other matters as he may deem pertinent in connection therewith.

David as
against

Plaintiff

Defendants

In Chancery

William's dec'd

This day this cause came on again to be heard on the papers formerly read and the report of Commissioner Taylor and it appearing to Court from said report that David H. Russell the administrator of William's Dec'd, has failed to settle his administrative account of the said estate before the said Commissioner according to a decree of this Court entered at the February Term 1875 after having been duly summoned for that purpose, on motion of the plaintiff by her counsel the Court doth adjudge, order and decree that a writ be issued and served on the said David H. Russell directing him to seek Court to show cause if any he can allege and attachment should not issue against him for not obeying the decree of this Court.

Amelia's Ds and

Plaintiff

Defendants

In Chancery

against

George's Ds and in her own right and as administrators of James S. Ds and R. F. Perkins & Rebecca S. Perkins his wife & child S. Ds and in respect by M. Edwards her guardian ad litem

This day this cause came on to be heard on the plaintiff's bill taken for complaint as to the defendants R. F. Perkins and Rebecca S. Perkins, the answers of George's Ds and R. F. Perkins and in respect by his guardian ad litem and grand representative Thos and exhibit first, and also argued by counsel. On consideration whereof the Court doth adjudge, order and decree that George's Ds and R. F. Perkins with and with account of her transactions as administrators of James S. Ds and R. F. Perkins do, before one of the Commissioners of this Court who will also take an account of the assets and annual value of all the real estate wherof James S. Ds and R. F. Perkins died, seized and possessed, also an account of all the debts notwithstanding against the said estate, their debts and liabilities of any and the said Commissioner will take inquisition and report to Court whether a balance of the said estate of the said James S. Ds and R. F. Perkins can be made in kind or whether a sale of the said lands will be